



# NYCLU

NEW YORK CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION

125 Broad Street  
New York, NY 10004  
(212) 607 3300  
Fax (212) 607 3318  
www.nyclu.org

BY FIRST CLASS MAIL

Christopher Dunn  
Associate Legal Director  
(212) 607-3300, ext. 326  
cdunn@nyclu.org

October 5, 2007

Raymond Kelly  
Commissioner  
New York City Police Department  
1 Police Plaza  
New York, N.Y. 10038

*Re:* FOIL Request for Documents Concerning “Lower Manhattan Security Initiative”

Dear Commissioner Kelly:

On behalf of the New York Civil Liberties Union, we write to request, pursuant to the New York Freedom of Information Law, that the New York City Police Department produce to us various documents concerning the so-called “Lower Manhattan Security Initiative” (and also referred to as the “Ring of Steel”). Because published reports indicate that under this program the Department intends to expend substantial public monies to create and operate a massive police surveillance system that soon will monitor and record lawful activity on public streets, we believe it imperative that the Department provide the information we seek and that it do so promptly.

Specifically, we ask that the Department produce the following:

1. Documents sent by the City of New York or the New York City Police Department to the United States Department of Homeland Security Concerning the “Lower Manhattan Security Initiative.” All documents<sup>1</sup> sent by the City of New York, the New York City Police Department (NYPD), or any entities acting on their behalf or as their agent(s) since January 1, 2002 to the United States Department of Homeland Security (DHS) about the NYPD plan to place a system of surveillance cameras in lower Manhattan in New York City. (Public reports have referred to this program as the Lower Manhattan Security Initiative. *See, e.g.,* Buckley, Police Plan Web of Surveillance for Downtown, *New York Times*, July 9 2007 at A1 (copy enclosed)). This request specifically includes, but is not limited to, documents or portions of documents relating to privacy protections, such as provisions concerning types of information collected, access to information collected, use of information collected, retention of information collected, and destruction of information collected. This request also includes, but is not limited to, documents relating to the \$15 million of DHS funds that the NYPD reportedly is using for this surveillance

---

<sup>1</sup>The term “documents” as used in this request applies to all materials covered by the Freedom of Information Law.

camera system (see attached *New York Times* story).

2. Documents received by the City of New York or the New York City Police Department from the United States Department of Homeland Security Concerning the "Lower Manhattan Security Initiative." All documents received by the City of New York, the New York City Police Department (NYPD), or any entities acting on their behalf or as their agent(s) since January 1, 2002 from the United States Department of Homeland Security (DHS) about the NYPD plan to place a system of surveillance cameras in lower Manhattan in New York City, as described in request number 1. This request specifically includes, but is not limited to, documents or portions of documents relating to privacy protections, such as provisions concerning types of information collected, access to information collected, use of information collected, retention of information collected, and destruction of information collected. This request also includes, but is not limited to, documents relating to the \$15 million of DHS funds that the NYPD reportedly is using for this surveillance camera system (see attached *New York Times* story).

3. Documents sent by the City of New York or the New York City Police Department to the New York City Council Concerning the "Lower Manhattan Security Initiative." All documents sent by the City of New York, the NYPD, or any entities acting on their behalf or as their agent(s) since January 1, 2002 to the New York City Council (including any of its members, committees, or subcommittees) about the NYPD plan to place a system of surveillance cameras in lower Manhattan in New York City, as described in request number 1. This request specifically includes, but is not limited to, documents or portions of documents relating to privacy protections, such as provisions concerning types of information collected, access to information collected, use of information collected, retention of information collected, and destruction of information collected. This request also includes, but is not limited to, documents relating to the \$10 million of New York City funds that the NYPD reportedly is using for this surveillance camera system (see attached *New York Times* story).

4. Documents received by the City of New York or the New York City Police Department from the New York City Council Concerning the "Lower Manhattan Security Initiative." All documents received by the City of New York, the NYPD or any entity acting on its behalf or as its agent(s) since January 1, 2002 from the New York City Council (including any of its members, committees, or subcommittees) about the NYPD plan to place a system of surveillance cameras in lower Manhattan in New York City, as described in request number 1. This request specifically includes, but is not limited to, documents or portions of documents relating to privacy protections, such as provisions concerning types of information collected, access to information collected, use of information collected, retention of information collected, and destruction of information collected. This request also includes, but is not limited to, documents relating to the \$10 million of New York City funds that the NYPD reportedly is using for this surveillance camera system (see attached *New York Times* story).

5. Documents sent by the City of New York or New York City Police Department to other Entities Concerning the "Lower Manhattan Security Initiative." Beyond documents covered by requests 1 and 3, all documents sent by the City of New York, the NYPD, or any

entities acting on their behalf or as their agent(s) since January 1, 2002 to other entities about the NYPD plan to place a system of surveillance cameras in lower Manhattan in New York City, as described in request number 1. This request includes but is not limited to documents provided to potential vendors of goods and/or services that might be used in conjunction with the system.

6. Documents received by the City of New York or New York City Police Department from other Entities Concerning the "Lower Manhattan Security Initiative." Beyond documents covered by requests 2 and 4, all documents received by the City of New York, the NYPD, or any entities acting on their behalf or as their agent(s) since January 1, 2002 from other entities about the NYPD plan to place a system of surveillance cameras in lower Manhattan in New York City, as described in request number 1. This request includes but is not limited to documents received from potential vendors of goods and/or services that might be used in conjunction with the system.

7. Documents Assessing the Proposed "Lower Manhattan Security Initiative." All documents, not covered by requests 1 to 4 above, in the possession or control of the NYPD (whether created by the NYPD or not) that evaluate, assess, describe, authorize, or otherwise discuss the NYPD plan to place a system of surveillance cameras in lower Manhattan in New York City, as described in request number 1.

8. Documents Assessing Video Surveillance Systems. All records, not covered by requests 1 to 5, in the possession or control of the NYPD (whether created by the NYPD or not) that evaluate, assess, describe, authorize or otherwise discuss camera surveillance systems proposed or employed in places other than New York City. This request includes but is not limited to records concerning the so-called "Ring of Steel" system in London, England.

To the extent you have questions about this request, we are prepared to discuss it with appropriate members of your staff. Otherwise, we ask that the Department provide a complete response to this request as soon as possible and no later than October 26, 2007.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Chris Dunn", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Christopher Dunn  
Matthew Faiella

## POLICE PLAN WEB OF SURVEILLANCE FOR DOWNTOWN

LIKE LONDON RING OF STEEL

A Call for 3,000 Cameras  
— New York Seeking  
More Antiterror Aid

By CARA BUCKLEY

By the end of this year, police officials say, more than 100 cameras will have begun monitoring cars moving through Lower Manhattan, the beginning phase of a London-style surveillance system that would be the first in the United States.

The Lower Manhattan Security Initiative, as the plan is called, will resemble London's so-called Ring of Steel, an extensive web of cameras and roadblocks designed to detect, track and deter terrorists. British officials said images captured by the cameras helped track suspects after the London subway bombings in 2005 and the car bomb plots last month.

If the program is fully financed, it will include not only license plate readers but also 3,000 public and private security cameras below Canal Street, as well as a center staffed by the police and private security officers, and movable roadblocks.

"This area is very critical to the economic lifeblood of this nation," New York City's police commissioner, Raymond W. Kelly, said in an interview last week. "We want to make it less vulnerable."

But critics question the plan's efficacy and cost, as well as the implications of having such heavy surveillance over such a broad swath of the city.

For a while, it appeared that New York could not even afford such a system. Last summer, Mr. Kelly said that the program was in peril after the city's share of Homeland Security urban grant money was cut by nearly 40 percent.

But Mr. Kelly said last week that the department had since obtained \$25 million toward the estimated \$90 million cost of the plan. Fifteen million dollars came from Homeland Security grants, he said, while another \$10 million came from the city, more than enough to install 116 license plate readers in fixed and mobile locations, including cars and helicopters, in the coming months.

The readers have been ordered, and Mr. Kelly said he hoped the rest

Continued on Page A14

## Security Plan In New York Calls for Web Of Cameras

Continued From Page A1

of the money would come from additional federal grants.

The license plate readers would check the plates' numbers and send out alerts if suspect vehicles were detected. The city is already seeking state approval to charge drivers a fee to enter Manhattan below 86th Street, which would require the use of license plate readers. If the plan is approved, the police will most likely collect information from those readers too, Mr. Kelly said.

But the downtown security plan involves much more than keeping track of license plates. Three thousand surveillance cameras would be installed below Canal Street by the end of 2008, about two-thirds of them owned by downtown companies. Some of those are already in place. Pivoting gates would be installed at critical intersections; they would swing out to block traffic or a suspect car at the push of a button.

Unlike the 250 or so cameras the police have already placed in high-crime areas throughout the city, which capture moving images that have to be downloaded, the security initiative cameras would transmit live information instantly.

The operation will cost an estimated \$8 million to run the first year, Mr. Kelly said. Its headquarters will be in Lower Manhattan, he said, though the police were still negotiating where exactly it will be. The police and corporate security agents will work together in the center, said Paul J. Browne, the chief spokesman for the police. The plan does not need City Council approval, he said.

The Police Department is still considering whether to use face-recognition technology, an inexact science that matches images against those in an electronic database, or biohazard detectors in its Lower Manhattan network, Mr. Browne said.

The entire operation is forecast to be in place and running by 2010, in time for the projected completion of several new buildings in the financial district, including the new Goldman Sachs world headquarters.

Civil liberties advocates said they were worried about misuse of technology that tracks the movement of thousands of cars and people,

Would this mean that every Wall Street broker, every tourist munching a hot dog near the United States Court House and every sightseer at ground zero would constantly be under surveillance?

"This program marks a whole new level of police monitoring of New Yorkers and is being done without any public input, outside oversight, or privacy protections for the hundreds of thousands of people who will end up in N.Y.P.D. computers," Christopher Dunn, a lawyer with the New York Civil Liberties Union, wrote in an e-mail message.

He said he worried about what would happen to the images once they were archived, how they would be used by the police and who else would have access to them.

Already, according to a report last year by the civil liberties group, there are nearly 4,200 public and private surveillance cameras below 14th Street, a fivefold increase since 1998, with virtually no oversight over what becomes of the recordings.

Mr. Browne said that the Police Department would have control over how the material is used. He said that the cameras would be recording in "areas where there's no expectation of privacy" and that law-abiding citizens had nothing to fear.

"It would be used to intercept a threat coming our way, but not to collect data indiscriminately on individuals," he said.

Mr. Browne said software tracking the cameras' images would be designed to pick up suspicious behavior. If, for example, a bag is left unattended for a certain length of time, or a suspicious car is detected repeatedly circling the same block, the system will send out an alert, he said.

Still, there are questions about whether such surveillance devices indeed serve their purpose.

There is little evidence to suggest that security cameras deter crime or terrorists, said James J. Carafano, a senior fellow for homeland security at the Heritage Foundation, a conservative research group in Washington.

For all its comprehensiveness, London's Ring of Steel, which was built in the early 1990s to deter Irish Republican Army attacks, did not prevent the July 7, 2005, subway bombings or the attempted car bombings in London last month. But the British authorities said the cameras did prove useful in retracing the paths of the suspects' cars last month, leading to several arrests.

While having 3,000 cameras whirling at the same time means loads of information will be captured, it also means there will be a lot of useless data to sift through.

"The more hay you have, the harder it is to find the needle," said Mr. Carafano.